Mean field evolution of fermionic systems

Marcello Porta

University of Zürich, Institute for Mathematics

QMath13: Mathematical Results in Quantum Physics Georgia Tech, 8-11 October, 2016

Outline

- Introduction.
- Results:
 - Derivation of the time-dependent Hartree-Fock equation, for pure and mixed states, with bounded interaction potentials.
 - **2** Extension to Coulomb interactions.
- Conclusions.

Introduction

Fermionic mean field regime

• N interacting fermionic particles in \mathbb{R}^3 , $\psi_N \in L^2_a(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$.

 $V(x_i - x_j) =$ pair interaction potential, $V_{\text{ext}}(x_i) =$ confining potential.

System confined in $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, $|\Lambda| = O(1)$. Density = O(N).

Fermionic mean field regime

• N interacting fermionic particles in \mathbb{R}^3 , $\psi_N \in L^2_a(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$.

 $V(x_i - x_j) =$ pair interaction potential, $V_{\text{ext}}(x_i) =$ confining potential. System confined in $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, $|\Lambda| = O(1)$. Density = O(N).

• Mean field regime. V varies on scale O(1), and $V \to N^{-1/3}V$. In fact: $E_{\text{int}} = \langle \psi_N, \sum_{i < j}^N V(x_i - x_j)\psi_N \rangle = O(N^2)$ $E_{\text{kin}} = \langle \psi_N, \sum_{i=1}^N -\Delta_{x_i}\psi_N \rangle \gtrsim N^{5/3}$ (by Lieb-Thirring inequality)

Fermionic mean field regime

• N interacting fermionic particles in \mathbb{R}^3 , $\psi_N \in L^2_a(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$.

 $V(x_i - x_j) =$ pair interaction potential, $V_{\text{ext}}(x_i) =$ confining potential. System confined in $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, $|\Lambda| = O(1)$. Density = O(N).

- Mean field regime. V varies on scale O(1), and $V \to N^{-1/3}V$. In fact: $E_{\text{int}} = \langle \psi_N, \sum_{i < j}^N V(x_i - x_j)\psi_N \rangle = O(N^2)$ $E_{\text{kin}} = \langle \psi_N, \sum_{i=1}^N -\Delta_{x_i}\psi_N \rangle \gtrsim N^{5/3}$ (by Lieb-Thirring inequality)
- Mean field Hamiltonian:

$$H_N^{\text{trap}} := \sum_{j=1}^N \left[-\Delta_j + V_{\text{ext}}(x_j) \right] + N^{-1/3} \sum_{i< j}^N V(x_i - x_j)$$

Hartree-Fock theory

• Hartree-Fock ground state energy:

$$E_{\rm HF}^N := \inf_{\psi_{\rm Slater}} \langle \psi_{\rm Slater}, H_N^{\rm trap} \psi_{\rm Slater} \rangle$$
$$\psi_{\rm Slater}(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \det f_i(x_j) , \qquad \langle f_i, f_j \rangle = \delta_{ij} .$$

• Setting
$$\omega_N := N \operatorname{tr}_{2,\dots,N} |\psi_{\text{Slater}}\rangle \langle \psi_{\text{Slater}}| = \sum_{i=1}^N |f_i\rangle \langle f_i|,$$

 $\langle \psi_{\text{Slater}}, H_N^{\text{trap}}\psi_{\text{Slater}}\rangle = \operatorname{tr}(-\Delta + V_{\text{ext}})\omega_N$
 $+ \frac{1}{2N^{1/3}} \int V(x-y)(\omega_N(x;x)\omega_N(y;y) - |\omega_N(x;y)|^2)$

One expects that, as $N \to \infty$:

$$E_{\mathrm{GS}}^N := \inf_{\psi \in L^2_\mathrm{a}(\mathbb{R}^{3N})} \frac{\langle \psi, H_N^{\mathrm{trap}} \psi \rangle}{\langle \psi, \psi \rangle} = E_{\mathrm{HF}}^N + \mathrm{smaller \ order \ terms} \ ,$$

Proven for large atoms: Bach '92, Graf-Solovej '94.

Hartree-Fock theory

• Hartree-Fock ground state energy:

$$E_{\rm HF}^N := \inf_{\psi_{\rm Slater}} \langle \psi_{\rm Slater}, H_N^{\rm trap} \psi_{\rm Slater} \rangle$$
$$\psi_{\rm Slater}(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \det f_i(x_j) , \qquad \langle f_i, f_j \rangle = \delta_{ij} .$$

• Setting
$$\omega_N := N \operatorname{tr}_{2,\dots,N} |\psi_{\operatorname{Slater}}\rangle \langle \psi_{\operatorname{Slater}}| = \sum_{i=1}^N |f_i\rangle \langle f_i|,$$

 $\langle \psi_{\operatorname{Slater}}, H_N^{\operatorname{trap}}\psi_{\operatorname{Slater}}\rangle = \operatorname{tr}(-\Delta + V_{\operatorname{ext}})\omega_N$
 $+ \frac{1}{2N^{1/3}} \int V(x-y)(\omega_N(x;x)\omega_N(y;y) - |\omega_N(x;y)|^2)$

One expects that, as $N \to \infty$:

$$E_{\rm GS}^N := \inf_{\psi \in L^2_{\rm a}(\mathbb{R}^{3N})} \frac{\langle \psi, H_N^{\rm trap} \psi \rangle}{\langle \psi, \psi \rangle} = E_{\rm HF}^N + \text{smaller order terms} \;,$$

Proven for large atoms: Bach '92, Graf-Solovej '94.

• Next: Thomas-Fermi theory (Lieb-Simon '73, Fournais-Lewin-Solovej '15).

Fermionic mean-field dynamics

• Suppose that $V_{\text{ext}} = 0$ at t = 0. Dynamics:

$$i\partial_t \psi_{N,\tau} = \Big[\sum_{j=1}^N -\Delta_{x_j} + N^{-1/3} \sum_{i< j}^N V(x_i - x_j)\Big]\psi_{N,\tau}$$

• $E_{\rm kin} \sim N^{5/3} \Rightarrow$ velocity $\sim N^{1/3}$. Time scale: $\tau \sim N^{-1/3}$.

Fermionic mean-field dynamics

• Suppose that $V_{\text{ext}} = 0$ at t = 0. Dynamics:

$$i\partial_t \psi_{N,\tau} = \Big[\sum_{j=1}^N -\Delta_{x_j} + N^{-1/3} \sum_{i< j}^N V(x_i - x_j)\Big]\psi_{N,\tau}$$

• $E_{\rm kin} \sim N^{5/3} \Rightarrow$ velocity $\sim N^{1/3}$. Time scale: $\tau \sim N^{-1/3}$.

• Introducing the rescaled time $t = N^{1/3}\tau$:

$$iN^{1/3}\partial_t\psi_{N,t} = \Big[\sum_{j=1}^N -\Delta_j + N^{-1/3}\sum_{i< j}^N V(x_i - x_j)\Big]\psi_{N,t}$$

Fermionic mean-field dynamics

• Suppose that $V_{\text{ext}} = 0$ at t = 0. Dynamics:

$$i\partial_t \psi_{N,\tau} = \Big[\sum_{j=1}^N -\Delta_{x_j} + N^{-1/3} \sum_{i< j}^N V(x_i - x_j)\Big]\psi_{N,\tau}$$

• $E_{\rm kin} \sim N^{5/3} \Rightarrow$ velocity $\sim N^{1/3}$. Time scale: $\tau \sim N^{-1/3}$.

• Introducing the rescaled time $t = N^{1/3}\tau$:

$$iN^{1/3}\partial_t\psi_{N,t} = \Big[\sum_{j=1}^N -\Delta_j + N^{-1/3}\sum_{i< j}^N V(x_i - x_j)\Big]\psi_{N,t}$$

• Let $\varepsilon = N^{-1/3}$. Multiplying LHS and RHS by ε^2 :

$$i\varepsilon \partial_t \psi_{N,t} = \Big[\sum_{j=1}^N -\varepsilon^2 \Delta_j + N^{-1} \sum_{i< j}^N V(x_i - x_j)\Big]\psi_{N,t}$$

Mean-field limit coupled with a semiclassical scaling.

Marcello Porta

Mean field evolution of fermions

Hartree-Fock and Vlasov dynamics

- Let $\gamma_N^{(1)} = N \operatorname{tr}_{2,\dots,N} |\psi_N\rangle \langle \psi_N| \simeq \omega_N$, with $\omega_N = \omega_N^2$ (Slater det.).
- Expect: for $N \gg 1$, $\gamma_{N,t}^{(1)} \simeq \omega_{N,t}$ = solution of time dep. HF equation:

$$i\varepsilon\partial_t\omega_{N,t} = \left[-\varepsilon^2\Delta + V * \rho_t - X_t, \omega_{N,t}\right]$$

where $\rho_t(x) = N^{-1} \omega_{N,t}(x;x)$ and $X_t(x;y) = N^{-1} V(x-y) \omega_{N,t}(x;y)$.

Hartree-Fock and Vlasov dynamics

- Let $\gamma_N^{(1)} = N \operatorname{tr}_{2,\dots,N} |\psi_N\rangle \langle \psi_N| \simeq \omega_N$, with $\omega_N = \omega_N^2$ (Slater det.).
- Expect: for $N \gg 1$, $\gamma_{N,t}^{(1)} \simeq \omega_{N,t}$ = solution of time dep. HF equation:

$$i\varepsilon\partial_t\omega_{N,t} = [-\varepsilon^2\Delta + V * \rho_t - X_t, \omega_{N,t}]$$

where $\rho_t(x) = N^{-1} \omega_{N,t}(x;x)$ and $X_t(x;y) = N^{-1} V(x-y) \omega_{N,t}(x;y)$.

• Wigner transform of $\omega_{N,t}$:

$$W_{N,t}(x,p) := \frac{\varepsilon^3}{(2\pi)^3} \int dy \,\omega_{N,t}\left(x + \varepsilon \frac{y}{2}, x - \varepsilon \frac{y}{2}\right) e^{-ip \cdot y}$$

As $N \to \infty$, Vlasov equation:

$$\partial_t W_{\infty,t}(x,p) + p \cdot \nabla_x W_{\infty,t}(x,p) = \left(\nabla_x V * \rho_t\right)(x) \cdot \nabla_p W_{\infty,t}(x,p)$$

Hartree-Fock and Vlasov dynamics

- Let $\gamma_N^{(1)} = N \operatorname{tr}_{2,\dots,N} |\psi_N\rangle \langle \psi_N| \simeq \omega_N$, with $\omega_N = \omega_N^2$ (Slater det.).
- Expect: for $N \gg 1$, $\gamma_{N,t}^{(1)} \simeq \omega_{N,t}$ = solution of time dep. HF equation:

$$i\varepsilon\partial_t\omega_{N,t} = [-\varepsilon^2\Delta + V * \rho_t - X_t, \omega_{N,t}]$$

where $\rho_t(x) = N^{-1} \omega_{N,t}(x;x)$ and $X_t(x;y) = N^{-1} V(x-y) \omega_{N,t}(x;y)$.

• Wigner transform of $\omega_{N,t}$:

$$W_{N,t}(x,p) := \frac{\varepsilon^3}{(2\pi)^3} \int dy \,\omega_{N,t}\left(x + \varepsilon \frac{y}{2}, x - \varepsilon \frac{y}{2}\right) e^{-ip \cdot y}$$

As $N \to \infty$, Vlasov equation:

$$\partial_t W_{\infty,t}(x,p) + p \cdot \nabla_x W_{\infty,t}(x,p) = \left(\nabla_x V * \rho_t\right)(x) \cdot \nabla_p W_{\infty,t}(x,p)$$

 Narnhofer-Sewell '81, Spohn '81; Elgart-Erdős-Schlein-Yau '04; Bardos-Golse-Gottlieb-Mauser '03, Fröhlich-Knowles '11

Marcello Porta

Mean field evolution of fermions

Results

Hartree-Fock dynamics of pure states

(interaction) $V \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, such that $\int dp \, |\widehat{V}(p)| (1+|p|)^2 < \infty$.

(initial data)
$$\psi_N \in L^2_a(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$$
 s.t. $\operatorname{tr} |\gamma_N^{(1)} - \omega_N| \leq C$, with $\omega_N = \omega_N^2$ and
 $\operatorname{tr} |[e^{iq \cdot x}, \omega_N]| \leq CN\varepsilon (1 + |q|), \quad \operatorname{tr} |[\varepsilon \nabla, \omega_N]| \leq CN\varepsilon$

Theorem (Benedikter-P-Schlein, Comm. Math. Phys. '14)

Let $\gamma_{N,t}^{(1)}$ be the reduced 1PDM of $\psi_{N,t} = e^{-iH_N t/\varepsilon} \psi_N$. Let $\omega_{N,t}$ be the sol. of

$$i\varepsilon\partial_t\omega_{N,t} = \left[-\varepsilon^2\Delta + V*\rho_t - X_t,\omega_{N,t}\right], \qquad \omega_{N,0} \equiv \omega_N$$

Then, for some constant c > 0 and for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\|\gamma_{N,t}^{(1)} - \omega_{N,t}\|_{HS} \le \exp(c \exp(c|t|)) , \quad \operatorname{tr} |\gamma_{N,t}^{(1)} - \omega_{N,t}| \le N^{1/2} \exp(c \exp(c|t|))$$

Remarks

1 Result still holds replacing Hartree-Fock with Hartree:

$$i\varepsilon\partial_t\widetilde{\omega}_{N,t} = \left[-\varepsilon^2\Delta + V*\rho_t,\widetilde{\omega}_{N,t}\right]$$

Pseudorelativistic case [Benedikter-P-Schlein, J. Math. Phys. '14]:

$$i\varepsilon\partial_t\psi_{N,t} = \Big(\sum_{j=1}^N \sqrt{-\varepsilon^2\Delta_j + m^2} + N^{-1}\sum_{i< j} V(x_i - x_j)\Big)\psi_{N,t}$$

with m = O(1). Under similar assumptions, we proved the emergence of the pseudorelativistic time-dependent HF equation:

$$i\varepsilon\partial_t\omega_{N,t} = \left[\sqrt{-\varepsilon^2\Delta + m^2} + V * \rho_t - X_t, \omega_{N,t}\right].$$

6 Commutator estimates \equiv semiclassical structure. Implied by

$$\omega_N(x;y) \simeq N\varphi\Big(\frac{x-y}{\varepsilon}\Big)\xi\Big(\frac{x+y}{2}\Big)$$
 for suitable φ, ξ .

true for the semiclassical approximation of the HF ground state.Similar result: Petrat-Pickl '14.

Hartree-Fock dynamics of mixed states

 $\textbf{ (interaction) } V \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3), \ \int dp \ |\widehat{V}(p)|(1+|p|^2) < +\infty.$

2 (initial data) Quasi-free mixed state with 1PDM ω_N , s.t. $0 \le \omega_N \le 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{tr} |[x,\sqrt{\omega_N}]|^2 \leq CN\varepsilon^2 & \operatorname{tr} |[\varepsilon\nabla,\sqrt{\omega_N}]|^2 \leq CN\varepsilon^2 \\ &\operatorname{tr} |[x,\sqrt{1-\omega_N}]|^2 \leq CN\varepsilon^2 & \operatorname{tr} |[\varepsilon\nabla,\sqrt{1-\omega_N}]|^2 \leq CN\varepsilon^2 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Benedikter-Jaksic-P-Saffirio-Schlein, CPAM '15)

Let $\gamma_{N,t}^{(1)}$ be the 1PDM of the many-body evolution of the initial state. Let $\omega_{N,t}$ be the solution of

$$i\varepsilon\partial_t\omega_{N,t} = \left[-\varepsilon^2\Delta + V*\rho_t - X_t,\omega_{N,t}\right], \qquad \omega_{N,0} \equiv \omega_N$$

Then, for some constant c > 0 and for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$:

 $\|\gamma_{N,t}^{(1)} - \omega_{N,t}\|_{HS} \le \exp(c\exp(c|t|)) , \quad \operatorname{tr} |\gamma_{N,t}^{(1)} - \omega_{N,t}| \le N^{1/2}\exp(c\exp(c|t|))$

• Fock space representation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) &= \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} L^2_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbb{R}^{3n}) , \qquad \mathcal{F} \ni \varphi = (\varphi^{(0)}, \varphi^{(1)}, \dots, \varphi^{(n)}, \dots) \\ \{a(f), a^*(g)\} &= \langle f, g \rangle , \qquad \{a(f), a(g)\} = \{a^*(f), a^*(g)\} = 0 . \end{aligned}$$

• Fock space representation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) &= \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} L^2_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbb{R}^{3n}) , \qquad \mathcal{F} \ni \varphi = (\varphi^{(0)}, \varphi^{(1)}, \dots, \varphi^{(n)}, \dots) \\ \{a(f), a^*(g)\} &= \langle f, g \rangle , \qquad \{a(f), a(g)\} = \{a^*(f), a^*(g)\} = 0 . \end{aligned}$$

• For simplicity: $\psi_N = \psi_{\text{Slater}}$. On \mathcal{F} , $\psi_{\text{Slater}} \rightsquigarrow R_{\omega_0} \Omega$, with $R_{\omega_0} = \text{Bogoliubov transformation}$ and $\Omega = (1, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)$.

• Fock space representation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) &= \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} L^2_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbb{R}^{3n}) , \qquad \mathcal{F} \ni \varphi = (\varphi^{(0)}, \varphi^{(1)}, \dots, \varphi^{(n)}, \dots) \\ \{a(f), a^*(g)\} &= \langle f, g \rangle , \qquad \{a(f), a(g)\} = \{a^*(f), a^*(g)\} = 0 . \end{aligned}$$

• For simplicity: $\psi_N = \psi_{\text{Slater}}$. On \mathcal{F} , $\psi_{\text{Slater}} \rightsquigarrow R_{\omega_0} \Omega$, with $R_{\omega_0} =$ Bogoliubov transformation and $\Omega = (1, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)$. [Mixed states can be represented via Bogoliubov transformations on $\mathcal{F}(L^2 \oplus L^2)$: Araki-Wyss representation.]

• Fock space representation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) &= \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} L^2_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbb{R}^{3n}) , \qquad \mathcal{F} \ni \varphi = (\varphi^{(0)}, \varphi^{(1)}, \dots, \varphi^{(n)}, \dots) \\ \{a(f), a^*(g)\} &= \langle f, g \rangle , \qquad \{a(f), a(g)\} = \{a^*(f), a^*(g)\} = 0 . \end{aligned}$$

- For simplicity: $\psi_N = \psi_{\text{Slater}}$. On \mathcal{F} , $\psi_{\text{Slater}} \rightsquigarrow R_{\omega_0} \Omega$, with $R_{\omega_0} =$ Bogoliubov transformation and $\Omega = (1, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)$. [Mixed states can be represented via Bogoliubov transformations on $\mathcal{F}(L^2 \oplus L^2)$: Araki-Wyss representation.]
- We get:

$$\|\gamma_{N,t}^{(1)} - \omega_{N,t}\|_{HS} \le C \langle \mathcal{U}_N(t)\Omega, \mathcal{N}\mathcal{U}_N(t)\Omega \rangle$$

with $\mathcal{U}_N(t) = R^*_{\omega_t} e^{-i\mathcal{H}_N t/\varepsilon} R_{\omega_0}$ and $(\mathcal{N}\varphi)^{(n)} = n\varphi^{(n)}$.

• Goal: prove $\langle \mathcal{U}_N(t)\Omega, \mathcal{N}\mathcal{U}_N(t)\Omega \rangle \leq C(t)$, uniformly in N. Implied by:

$$i\varepsilon\partial_t \langle \mathcal{U}_N(t)\Omega, \mathcal{N}\mathcal{U}_N(t)\Omega \rangle \Big| \le C\varepsilon \langle \mathcal{U}_N(t)\Omega, \mathcal{N}\mathcal{U}_N(t)\Omega \rangle$$

• We have:

$$i\varepsilon\partial_t \langle \mathcal{U}_N(t)\Omega, \mathcal{N}\mathcal{U}_N(t)\Omega \rangle = \langle \mathcal{U}_N(t)\Omega, [\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{L}_N(t)]\mathcal{U}_N(t)\Omega \rangle$$

with $\mathcal{L}_N(t)$ the generator of $\mathcal{U}_N(t)$. The largest contribution comes from:

$$\frac{1}{2N}\int dxdy V(x-y)a(u_x)a(u_y)a(\bar{v}_x)a(\bar{v}_y) + \text{h.c.}$$

with $u = 1 - \omega_{N,t}$, $v^*v = \omega_{N,t}$ and vu = 0.

10 / 12

• We have:

$$i\varepsilon\partial_t \langle \mathcal{U}_N(t)\Omega, \mathcal{N}\mathcal{U}_N(t)\Omega \rangle = \langle \mathcal{U}_N(t)\Omega, [\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{L}_N(t)]\mathcal{U}_N(t)\Omega \rangle$$

with $\mathcal{L}_N(t)$ the generator of $\mathcal{U}_N(t)$. The largest contribution comes from:

$$\frac{1}{2N} \int dp \, \hat{V}(p) \int d\underline{r} \, (ve^{ipx}u)(r_1, r_3)(ve^{-ipx}u)(r_2, r_4)a_{r_1}a_{r_2}a_{r_3}a_{r_4}$$

with $u = 1 - \omega_{N,t}$, $v^*v = \omega_{N,t}$ and vu = 0.

• We have:

$$i\varepsilon\partial_t \langle \mathcal{U}_N(t)\Omega, \mathcal{N}\mathcal{U}_N(t)\Omega \rangle = \langle \mathcal{U}_N(t)\Omega, [\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{L}_N(t)]\mathcal{U}_N(t)\Omega \rangle$$

with $\mathcal{L}_N(t)$ the generator of $\mathcal{U}_N(t)$. The largest contribution comes from:

$$\frac{1}{2N} \int dp \, \hat{V}(p) \int d\underline{r} \, (v[e^{ipx}, \omega_{N,t}])(r_1, r_3) (v[e^{-ipx}, \omega_{N,t}])(r_2, r_4) a_{r_1} a_{r_2} a_{r_3} a_{r_4}$$

with $u = 1 - \omega_{N,t}, \, v^* v = \omega_{N,t}$ and $vu = 0$.

• We have:

$$i\varepsilon\partial_t \langle \mathcal{U}_N(t)\Omega, \mathcal{N}\mathcal{U}_N(t)\Omega \rangle = \langle \mathcal{U}_N(t)\Omega, [\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{L}_N(t)]\mathcal{U}_N(t)\Omega \rangle$$

with $\mathcal{L}_N(t)$ the generator of $\mathcal{U}_N(t)$. The largest contribution comes from:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2N} \int dp \, \hat{V}(p) \int d\underline{r} \, (v[e^{ipx}, \omega_{N,t}])(r_1, r_3) (v[e^{-ipx}, \omega_{N,t}])(r_2, r_4) a_{r_1} a_{r_2} a_{r_3} a_{r_4} \\ &\text{with } u = 1 - \omega_{N,t}, \, v^* v = \omega_{N,t} \text{ and } vu = 0. \text{ Expectation bounded by:} \\ &N^{-1} \sup_p \frac{\operatorname{tr} |[\omega_{N,t}, e^{ipx}]|^2}{1 + |p|} \langle \mathcal{U}_N(t)\Omega, \mathcal{N}\mathcal{U}_N(t)\Omega \rangle \leq C(t) \varepsilon \langle \mathcal{U}_N(t)\Omega, \mathcal{N}\mathcal{U}_N(t)\Omega \rangle \;, \end{split}$$

thanks to the propagation of the semiclassical structure:

$$\operatorname{tr} |[\omega_{N,t}, e^{ipx}]| \le C e^{c|t|} N \varepsilon (1+|p|) , \qquad \operatorname{tr} |[\omega_{N,t}, \varepsilon \nabla]| \le C e^{c|t|} N \varepsilon .$$

Coulomb interactions?

- For Coulomb interactions, $\hat{V}(p) = p^{-2}$: slow decay in p.
- Instead of Fourier, use (smoothed) Fefferman-de la Llave representation:

$$\frac{1}{|x-y||} = \frac{4}{\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dr \, \frac{1}{r^5} \int dz \, \chi(|x-z|/r) \chi(|y-z|/r), \qquad \chi(\rho) = e^{-\rho^2}$$

Here, one has to control commutators $[\chi(|x|/r), \omega_{N,t}]$. Need to:

- use the smallness of the support of $\chi(|x|/r)$ to control the r^{-5} ,
- extract a factor ε from the commutator.
- \Rightarrow A more local notion of semiclassical structure is needed to control the commutators.

Coulomb interactions?

- For Coulomb interactions, $\hat{V}(p) = p^{-2}$: slow decay in p.
- Instead of Fourier, use (smoothed) Fefferman-de la Llave representation:

$$\frac{1}{|x-y||} = \frac{4}{\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dr \, \frac{1}{r^5} \int dz \, \chi(|x-z|/r) \chi(|y-z|/r), \qquad \chi(\rho) = e^{-\rho^2}$$

Here, one has to control commutators $[\chi(|x|/r), \omega_{N,t}]$. Need to:

- use the smallness of the support of $\chi(|x|/r)$ to control the r^{-5} ,
- extract a factor ε from the commutator.
- \Rightarrow A more local notion of semiclassical structure is needed to control the commutators.
- Results on short time scales, without semiclassical structure: Bach-Breteaux-Petrat-Pickl-Tzaneteas '14, Petrat '16.

Mean field evolution of fermions

Hartree-Fock dynamics for Coulomb interactions

• Let
$$\psi_N \in L^2_a(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$$
 s.t. tr $|\gamma_N^{(1)} - \omega_N| \leq C$, with $\omega_N = \omega_N^2$.

Let $\rho_{|[\omega_{N,t},x]|}(x) := |[\omega_{N,t},x]|(x;x)$. Suppose that $\exists T > 0$ s.t.:

 $\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|\rho_{|[\omega_{N,t},x]|}\|_1+\|\rho_{|[\omega_{N,t},x]|}\|_p\leq CN\varepsilon,\qquad\text{for some }p>5\ .$

Theorem (P-Rademacher-Saffirio-Schlein, arXiv:1608.05268)

Let $\gamma_{N,t}^{(1)}$ be the reduced 1PDM of $\psi_{N,t} = e^{-iH_N t/\varepsilon} \psi_N$. Let $\omega_{N,t}$ be the sol. of

$$i\varepsilon\partial_t\omega_{N,t} = \left[-\varepsilon^2\Delta + V*\rho_t - X_t,\omega_{N,t}\right], \qquad \omega_{N,0} \equiv \omega_N$$

with $V(x-y) = |x-y|^{-1}$. Then, for every $\delta > 0$ there exists C > 0 s.t.:

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\gamma_{N,t}^{(1)} - \omega_{N,t}\|_{HS} \le CN^{5/12+\delta} , \quad \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \operatorname{tr} |\gamma_{N,t}^{(1)} - \omega_{N,t}| \le CN^{11/12+\delta}$$

Conclusions

Conclusions

Conclusions

- We proved the convergence of many-body dynamics to the Hartree-Fock dynamics, for pure and mixed states, in the mean field scaling.
- A crucial role is played by the semiclassical structure of the initial data, which can be propagated along the HF flow, for bounded potentials.
- Extension to Coulomb interactions, if the semiclassical structure holds at positive times (trivially true for translation invariant systems).
- Other results. Derivation of the Vlasov equation, staring from the HF equation, for pure and mixed states: Benedikter-P-Saffirio-Schlein, ARMA '16
- Open problems.
 - Propagation of the semiclassical structure for Coulomb potentials?
 - Stability of BCS initial data?
 - Other scaling regimes (quantum Boltzmann)?
 -

Thank you!

• So far, we considered initial data close to ψ_{Slater} (pure quasi-free state). OK for T = 0. What happens at T > 0?

- So far, we considered initial data close to ψ_{Slater} (pure quasi-free state). OK for T = 0. What happens at T > 0?
- In general, a fermionic state corresponds to a density matrix $\rho_N : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F},$

$$\rho_N = \sum_{n \ge 0} \lambda_n |\psi_n\rangle \langle \psi_n| , \qquad 0 \le \lambda_n \le 1 , \qquad \psi_n \in \mathcal{F} \equiv \mathcal{F}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$$

For T > 0, ρ_N is not a rank-1 projection on \mathcal{F} .

- So far, we considered initial data close to ψ_{Slater} (pure quasi-free state). OK for T = 0. What happens at T > 0?
- In general, a fermionic state corresponds to a density matrix $\rho_N : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F},$

$$\rho_N = \sum_{n \ge 0} \lambda_n |\psi_n\rangle \langle \psi_n| , \qquad 0 \le \lambda_n \le 1 , \qquad \psi_n \in \mathcal{F} \equiv \mathcal{F}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$$

For T > 0, ρ_N is not a rank-1 projection on \mathcal{F} .

• However, we can still represent it with a pure state as follows. Let

$$\kappa_N := \rho_N^{1/2} = \sum_{n \ge 0} \lambda_n^{1/2} |\psi_n\rangle \langle \psi_n| \simeq \sum_{n \ge 0} \lambda_n^{1/2} \psi_n \otimes \overline{\psi}_n \in \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}$$

The state of the system is represented by a vector in $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}$:

$$\langle O \rangle_{\rho_N} = \operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{F}} O \rho_N = \langle \kappa_N, O \otimes 1 \kappa_N \rangle$$

• $\mathcal{F}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \otimes \mathcal{F}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \stackrel{U}{\simeq} \mathcal{F}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$. The unitary U that conjugates the two spaces is called exponential law.

- $\mathcal{F}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \otimes \mathcal{F}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \stackrel{U}{\simeq} \mathcal{F}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$. The unitary U that conjugates the two spaces is called exponential law.
- A mixed quasi-free state is represented by a vector $R_0 \Omega_{\mathcal{F}(L^2 \oplus L^2)}$, where R_0 implements a Bogoliubov transformation (\equiv Araki-Wyss representation)

Mixed states

- $\mathcal{F}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \otimes \mathcal{F}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \stackrel{U}{\simeq} \mathcal{F}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$. The unitary U that conjugates the two spaces is called exponential law.
- A mixed quasi-free state is represented by a vector $R_0 \Omega_{\mathcal{F}(L^2 \oplus L^2)}$, where R_0 implements a Bogoliubov transformation (\equiv Araki-Wyss representation)
- Dynamics generated by the Liouvillian $\mathcal{L}_N := U(\mathcal{H}_N \otimes 1 1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_N)U^*$ $\varphi_{N,t} = e^{-i\mathcal{L}_N t/\varepsilon} R_0 \Omega_{\mathcal{F}(L^2 \oplus L^2)}$

Mixed states

- $\mathcal{F}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \otimes \mathcal{F}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \stackrel{U}{\simeq} \mathcal{F}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$. The unitary U that conjugates the two spaces is called exponential law.
- A mixed quasi-free state is represented by a vector $R_0 \Omega_{\mathcal{F}(L^2 \oplus L^2)}$, where R_0 implements a Bogoliubov transformation (\equiv Araki-Wyss representation)
- Dynamics generated by the Liouvillian $\mathcal{L}_N := U(\mathcal{H}_N \otimes 1 1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_N)U^*$

$$\varphi_{N,t} = e^{-i\mathcal{L}_N t/\varepsilon} R_0 \Omega_{\mathcal{F}(L^2 \oplus L^2)}$$

• It follows that

$$\|\gamma_{N,t}^{(1)} - \omega_{N,t}\|_{HS} \le C \langle \mathcal{U}_N(t)\Omega, \mathcal{N}\mathcal{U}_N(t)\Omega \rangle$$

with $\mathcal{U}_N(t) := R_t^* e^{-i\mathcal{L}_N t/\varepsilon} R_0.$

• Grönwall-type estimate plus propagation of semiclassical structure implies:

$$\langle \mathcal{U}_N(t)\Omega, \mathcal{N}\mathcal{U}_N(t)\Omega \rangle \leq C(t)$$

Marcello Porta

Mean field evolution of fermions

October 8, 2016 14 / 12