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## The talk outline

- Setting the scene: why to consider singular Schrödinger operators
- $\delta$-interactions supported by hypersurfaces
- A simple definition
- More general supports: Lipschitz partitions
- Spectral properties: older and new results
- A more singular situation: $\delta^{\prime}$-interactions
- Form definition
- An operator inequality and its consequences
- The strong $\delta^{\prime}$ asymptotics
- General singular interactions
- Definition
- Operator inequalities again
- Spectral properties
- Some open questions


## Operators to deal with

The simplest example of the singular Schrödinger operators we are going to consider here can formally written as

$$
H_{\alpha, \Gamma}=-\Delta-\alpha \delta(x-\Gamma), \quad \alpha>0,
$$

in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, where $\Gamma$ is a zero-measure subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, for instance, a manifold, a metric graph, etc.
Motivation: (a) Interesting mathematical objects, in particular, since their spectral properties reflect the geometry of $\Gamma$
(b) a useful model of quantum graphs and generalized graphs with the advantage that tunneling between edges is not neglected We are going a wider class of operators in several respects

- the coupling strength may vary along the interaction support
- $\delta$ may be replaced by other, more singular interactions
- on the other hand, we restrict ourselves to the situations with $\operatorname{codim} \Gamma=1$. Note that there are various results for $\operatorname{codim} \Gamma=2$, cf. [E-Kondej'02,'15; E-Frank'07], while the remaining nontrivial case codim $\Gamma=3$ has not been studied so far


## The $\delta$-interaction

A natural tool to define the corresponding singular Schrödinger operator is to employ the appropriate quadratic form, namely

$$
q_{\delta, \alpha}[\psi]:=\|\nabla \psi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{2}-\alpha\left\|\left.f\right|_{\Gamma}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}^{2}
$$

with the domain $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and to use the first representation theorem.
If $\Gamma$ is a smooth manifold with $\operatorname{codim} \Gamma=1$ one can easily check that the form defines a unique self-adjoint operator $H_{\alpha, \Gamma}$, which can alternatively characterized by boundary conditions: it acts as $-\Delta$ on functions from $H_{\text {loc }}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash \Gamma\right)$, which are continuous and exhibit a normal-derivative jump,

$$
\left.\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n}(x)\right|_{+}-\left.\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n}(x)\right|_{-}=-\alpha(x) \psi(x)
$$

This explains the formal expression as describing the attractive $\delta$-interaction of strength $\alpha(x)$ perpendicular to $\Gamma$ at the point $x$. Alternatively, one sometimes uses the symbol $-\Delta_{\delta, \alpha}$ for this operator.

## A wider class of interaction supports

The class of $\Gamma$ mentioned above is rather narrow. To get a wider family we start from the following definition:

A finite family of Lipschitz domains $\mathcal{P}=\left\{\Omega_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{n}$ is called a Lipschitz partition of $\mathbb{R}^{d}, d \geq 2$, if

$$
\mathbb{R}^{d}=\bigcup_{k=1}^{n} \bar{\Omega}_{k} \quad \text { and } \quad \Omega_{k} \cap \Omega_{I}=\emptyset, \quad k, I=1,2, \ldots, n, \quad k \neq 1
$$

The union $\cup_{k=1}^{n} \partial \Omega_{k}=: \Gamma$ is the boundary of $\mathcal{P}$. For $k \neq I$ we set $\Gamma_{k l}:=\partial \Omega_{k} \cap \partial \Omega_{l}$ and we say that $\Omega_{k}$ and $\Omega_{l}, k \neq l$, are neighboring domains if $\sigma_{k}\left(\Gamma_{k l}\right)>0$, where $\sigma_{k}$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\partial \Omega_{k}$. Using standard coloring maps, we define the chromatic number $\chi_{\mathcal{P}}$ of $\mathcal{P}$ as the smallest number of colors allowed by the partition 'map'. In particular, we know that $\chi_{\mathcal{P}} \leq 4$ if $d=2$.

## The $\delta$-interaction

Then we have the following result [Behrndt-E-Lotoreichik'14]:

> Proposition
> Let $\mathcal{P}=\left\{\Omega_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{n}$ be a Lipschitz partition of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with the boundary $\Gamma$, and let $\alpha: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ belong to $L^{\infty}(\Gamma)$. Then the quadratic form $q_{\delta, \alpha}$ defined above is closed and semibounded from below.

and consequently, there is a unique self-adjoint operator $-\Delta_{\delta, \alpha}$ associated with the form $q_{\delta, \alpha}$ which will be our object of interest.

Note that the interaction support may be a proper subset of $\Gamma$, since $\alpha$ may vanish on a part of $\Gamma$, hence it may be, e.g., a finite non-closed curve, a manifold with a boundary, etc.

## Spectrum of $-\Delta_{\delta, \alpha}$

The spectrum is determined both by the geometry of $\Gamma$ and the coupling function $\alpha$, in particular, by its sign.

If $\Gamma$ is compact, it is easy to see that $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(-\Delta_{\delta, \alpha}\right)=\mathbb{R}_{+}$.
On the other hand, the essential spectrum may change if the support $\Gamma$ is non-compact. As an example, take a line in the plane and suppose that $\alpha$ is constant and positive; by separation of variables we find easily that $\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}\left(-\Delta_{\delta, \alpha}\right)=\left[-\frac{1}{4} \alpha^{2}, \infty\right)$.

The question about the discrete spectrum is more involved. Suppose first that interaction support is finite, $|\Gamma|<\infty$. It is clear that $\sigma_{\text {disc }}\left(-\Delta_{\delta, \alpha}\right)$ is empty if the interaction is repulsive, $\alpha \leq 0$.

## Spectrum of $-\Delta_{\delta, \alpha}$

On the other hand, the existence of a negative discrete spectrum for an attractive coupling is dimension dependent.

Consider for simplicity a constant $\alpha$. For $d=2$ bound states then exist whenever $|\Gamma|>0$, in particular, we have a weak-coupling expansion, cf. [Kondej-Lotoreichik'14]

$$
\lambda(\alpha)=\left(C_{\Gamma}+o(1)\right) \exp \left(-\frac{4 \pi}{\alpha|\Gamma|}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad \alpha|\Gamma| \rightarrow 0+
$$

On the other hand, for $d=3$ the singular coupling must exceed a critical value. As an example, let $\Gamma$ be a sphere of radius $R>0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, then by [Antoine-Gesztesy-Shabani'87] we have

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{disc}}\left(H_{\alpha, \Gamma}\right) \neq \emptyset \quad \text { iff } \quad \alpha R>1
$$

and the same obviously holds in dimensions $d>3$.

## A $\delta$-interaction supported by infinite curves

A geometrically induced discrete spectrum may exist even if $\Gamma$ is infinite and $\inf \sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(-\Delta_{\delta, \alpha}\right)<0$. Consider, for instance, a non-straight, piecewise $C^{1}$-smooth curve $\Gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ parameterized by its arc length, $\left|\Gamma(s)-\Gamma\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq\left|s-s^{\prime}\right|$, assuming in addition that

- $\left|\Gamma(s)-\Gamma\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq c\left|s-s^{\prime}\right|$ holds for some $c \in(0,1)$
- $\Gamma$ is asymptotically straight: there are $d>0, \mu>\frac{1}{2}$ and $\omega \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
1-\frac{\left|\Gamma(s)-\Gamma\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right|}{\left|s-s^{\prime}\right|} \leq d\left[1+\left|s+s^{\prime}\right|^{2 \mu}\right]^{-1 / 2}
$$

in the sector $S_{\omega}:=\left\{\left(s, s^{\prime}\right): \omega<\frac{s}{s^{\prime}}<\omega^{-1}\right\}$

## Theorem (E-Ichinose'01)

Under these assumptions, $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(-\Delta_{\delta, \alpha}\right)=\left[-\frac{1}{4} \alpha^{2}, \infty\right)$ and $-\Delta_{\delta, \alpha}$ has at least one eigenvalue below the threshold $-\frac{1}{4} \alpha^{2}$.

## Geometrically induced bound states, continued

- The result is obtained via (generalized) Birman-Schwinger principle regarding the bending a perturbation of the straight line
- the crucial observation is that - in view of the 2D free resolvent kernel properties - this perturbation is sign definite and compact
- Higher dimensions: the situation is more complicated. For smooth curved surfaces $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ an analogous result is proved in the strong coupling asymptotic regime, $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, only
- On the other hand, we have an example of a conical surface of an opening angle $\theta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2} \pi\right)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, where for any constant $\alpha>0$ we have $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(-\Delta_{\delta, \alpha}\right)=\mathbb{R}_{+}$and an infinite numbers of negative eigenvalues accumulating at zero, cf. [Behrndt-E-Lotoreichik'14]
- Moreover, the above result remain valid for any local deformation of the conical surface. We also know the accumulation rate for conical layers: by [Lotoreichik-Ourmières-Bonafos'16] it is

$$
\mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4} \alpha^{2}-E}\left(-\Delta_{\delta, \alpha}\right) \sim \frac{\cot \theta}{4 \pi}|\ln E|, \quad E \rightarrow 0+
$$

## Geometrically induced bound states, continued

- On the other hand, the result is again dimension-dependent: for a conical surface in $\mathbb{R}^{d}, d>3$, we have $\sigma_{\text {disc }}\left(-\Delta_{\delta, \alpha}\right)=\emptyset$, cf. [Lotoreichik-Ourmières-Bonafos'16].
- Implications for more complicated Lipschitz partitions: let $\Gamma \supset \Gamma$ holds in the set sense, then $H_{\alpha, \tilde{\Gamma}} \leq H_{\alpha, \Gamma}$. If the essential spectrum thresholds are the same - which is often easy to establish - then $\sigma_{\text {disc }}\left(H_{\alpha, \tilde{\Gamma}}\right) \neq \emptyset$ whenever the same is true for $\sigma_{\text {disc }}\left(H_{\alpha, \Gamma}\right)$
- Many other results, for instance, concerning the strong coupling asymptotics: for a $C^{4}$ smooth curve in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ without ends the $j$-th eigenvalue of $-\Delta_{\delta, \alpha}$ behaves as

$$
\lambda_{j}(\alpha)=-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4}+\mu_{j}+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{-1} \ln \alpha\right)
$$

in the limit $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, where $\mu_{j}$ is the $j$-th ev of $S_{\Gamma}=-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{ds} s^{2}}-\frac{1}{4} \kappa(s)^{2}$ on $L^{2}((0,|\Gamma|))$, where $\kappa$ is the signed curvature of $\Gamma$.

## Geometrically induced bound states, continued

- The same is true for curves with regular ends; the comparison operator $S_{\Gamma}$ is then subject to Dirichlet boundary conditions, cf. [E-Pankrashkin'14].
- Similar results are valid $C^{4}$ smooth surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$; here the comparison operator is $S_{\Gamma}=-\Delta_{\Gamma}+K-M^{2}$, where $-\Delta_{\Gamma}$ is Laplace-Beltrami operator on $\Gamma$ and $K, M$, respectively, are the corresponding Gauss and mean curvatures. For surfaces with a boundary additional technical assumptions are needed, cf. [Dittrich-E-Kühn-Pankrashkin'16].
- For infinite curves in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ we have also a weak bending asymptotics: for a family $\Gamma_{\theta}$ parametrized by the bending angle $\theta$ one proves $\lambda\left(H_{\alpha, \Gamma_{\theta}}\right)=-\frac{1}{4} \alpha^{2}+a \theta^{4}+o\left(\theta^{4}\right)$ with an explicit $a<0$ as $\theta \rightarrow 0+$ under some technical assumptions [E-Kondej'16]. In particular, for broken line we have $a=-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{36 \pi^{2}}$.
- Also various other results are known ...


## More singular operators: the $\delta^{\prime}$-interaction

Having in mind the one-dimensional point interaction, we can define for a smooth planar curve the operator $-\Delta_{\delta^{\prime}, \beta}$ using boundary conditions: it acts as Laplacian outside the interaction support,

$$
\left(H_{\beta, \Gamma} \psi\right)(x)=-(\Delta \psi)(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \Gamma,
$$

with the domain consisting of functions $\psi \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \Gamma\right)$ that satisfy the b.c. $\left.\partial_{n_{\Gamma}} \psi(x)=\partial_{-n_{\Gamma}} \psi(x)=:\left.\psi^{\prime}(x)\right|_{\Gamma},-\left.\beta \psi^{\prime}(x)\right|_{\Gamma}=\left.\psi(x)\right|_{\partial_{+} \Gamma}-\left.\psi(x)\right|_{\partial_{-}\ulcorner }\right\}$, where $n_{\Gamma}$ is the normal to $\Gamma$ and $\left.\psi(x)\right|_{\partial_{ \pm} \Gamma}$ are the appropriate traces.

The corresponding quadratic form is easily seen to be

$$
h_{\beta, \Gamma}[\psi]=\|\nabla \psi\|^{2}-\beta^{-1} \int_{\Gamma}\left|\psi\left(s, 0_{+}\right)-\psi\left(s, 0_{-}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} s
$$

defined on functions $\psi \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \Gamma\right)$ as $\psi(s, u)$, where $s, u$ are the natural curvilinear coordinates in the vicinity of $\Gamma$. This can be used to define the $\delta^{\prime}$-interaction in other dimensions and for more general Lipschitz partitions.

Note that the strong-coupling in this case means $\beta \rightarrow 0+$.

## The $\delta^{\prime}$-interaction

Let $\mathcal{P}=\left\{\Omega_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{n}$ be a Lipschitz partition of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with the boundary $\Gamma$, and let $\beta: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\beta^{-1} \in L^{\infty}(\Gamma)$. Then we define the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{\delta^{\prime}, \beta}[f, g]:= & \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\nabla f_{k}, \nabla g_{k}\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{k}\right.} \\
& -\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{l=k+1}^{n}\left(\beta_{k l}^{-1}\left(\left.f_{k}\right|_{\Gamma_{k l}}-f_{l} \mid \Gamma_{k l}\right), g_{k}\left|\Gamma_{k l}-g_{l}\right| \Gamma_{k l}\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{k l}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

with the domain $\bigoplus_{k=1}^{n} H^{1}\left(\Omega_{k}\right)$; we denote here $\Gamma_{k l}=\partial \Omega_{k} \cap \partial \Omega_{l}$ for $k, I=1,2, \ldots, n, k \neq I$, and $\beta_{k l}$ means the restrictions of $\beta$ to $\Gamma_{k l}$.

As in the $\delta$ case, we have the following result [Behrndt-E-Lotoreichik'14]:

## Proposition

The form $q_{\delta^{\prime}, \beta}$ is closed and semibounded from below.

The s-a operator associated with $q_{\delta^{\prime}, \beta}$ will be denoted as $-\Delta_{\delta^{\prime}, \beta}$ or $H_{\beta . \Gamma}$

## Spectrum of $-\Delta_{\delta^{\prime}, \beta}$

Similarly to the $\delta$ case, we have $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(-\Delta_{\delta^{\prime}, \beta}\right)=\mathbb{R}_{+}$if $\Gamma$ is compact.
A $\delta^{\prime}$-interaction supported by a non-compact $\Gamma$, on the other hand, may change the essential spectrum; an example is again a line in the plane with a constant and positive $\beta$, where by separation of variables we find $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(-\Delta_{\delta^{\prime}, \beta}\right)=\left[-\frac{4}{\beta^{2}}, \infty\right)$.

It is also clear that the a compactly supported $\delta^{\prime}$-interaction can give rise to a nontrivial discrete spectrum only if it is not (purely) repulsive.

On the other hand, relations between the discrete spectrum and the form of $\Gamma$ are, in general, different from the $\delta$ situation. It is now the topology of the interaction support which plays role.

## The $\delta^{\prime}$ interaction in the plane

Consider a finite curve $\Gamma$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. If it is a loop, then it is easy to see that $\sigma_{\text {disc }}\left(-\Delta_{\delta^{\prime}, \beta}\right) \neq \emptyset$ for any constant $\beta>0$ : just try a trial function which is a constant inside the loop and zero otherwise.

On the other hand, by [M. Dauge, private communication] we have

## Proposition

If $\Gamma$ is not closed, there is a $\beta_{0}>0$ such that $\sigma_{\text {disc }}\left(-\Delta_{\delta^{\prime}, \beta}\right)=\emptyset$ holds for all constant $\beta>\beta_{0}$.

For a class of $\Gamma$ we have a quantitative result, namely for those that are nonclosed, piecewise $C^{1}$, and monotone, i.e. allow a parametrisation by a piecewise $C^{1}$ map $\varphi:(0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\Gamma=\left\{x_{0}+r(\cos \varphi(r), \sin \varphi(r)): r \in(0, R)\right\}
$$

Theorem (Jex-Lotoreichik'16)
We have $\sigma\left(-\Delta_{\delta^{\prime}, \beta}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}_{+}$if $\beta>2 \pi r \sqrt{1+\left(r \varphi^{\prime}(r)\right)^{2}}$ for all $r \in(0, R)$.

## An operator inequality

Spectral analysis of $-\Delta_{\delta^{\prime}, \beta}$ is more difficult because we lack a direct counterpart to some of the tools used before, in particular, to the (generalized) Birman-Schwinger principle.

One the other hand, there is a useful relation between the two cases:

## Theorem (Behrndt-E-Lotoreichik'14)

Let $\mathcal{P}=\left\{\Omega_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{n}$ be a Lipschitz partition of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with boundary $\Gamma$ and chromatic number $\chi_{\mathcal{P}}$. Let $\alpha, \beta: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\alpha, \beta^{-1} \in L^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ and assume that

$$
0<\beta \leq \frac{4}{\alpha} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{\chi \mathcal{P}}\right) .
$$

Then there exists a unitary operator $U: L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ such that the self-adjoint operators $-\Delta_{\delta, \alpha}$ and $-\Delta_{\delta^{\prime}, \beta}$ satisfy the inequality

$$
U^{-1}\left(-\Delta_{\delta^{\prime}, \beta}\right) U \leq-\Delta_{\delta, \alpha} .
$$

## Sketch of the proof

By assumption, to the given $\mathcal{P}$ there is an optimal coloring map

$$
\phi:\{1,2, \ldots, n\} \rightarrow\left\{0,1, \ldots, \chi_{\mathcal{P}}-1\right\}
$$

such that for any $k \neq I$ such that $\sigma_{k}\left(\Gamma_{k I}\right)>0$ we have $\phi(k) \neq \phi(I)$.
Then we define $n$ complex numbers $\mathcal{Z}:=\left\{z_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{n}$ on the unit circle,

$$
z_{k}:=\exp \left(i \frac{2 \pi \phi(k)}{\chi \mathcal{P}}\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n ;
$$

it is easy to see that for $k \neq I$ such that $\sigma_{k}\left(\Gamma_{k l}\right)>0$ they satisfy

$$
\left|z_{k}-z_{l}\right|^{2} \geq 2-2 \cos \left(\frac{2 \pi}{\chi \mathcal{P}}\right)
$$

in other words $4 \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{2 \pi}{\chi \mathcal{P}}\right) \leq\left|z_{k}-z_{l}\right|^{2}$.

## Sketch of the proof

Putting now $\alpha_{\mathcal{Z}}(x):=\left|z_{k}-z_{l}\right|^{2} \beta_{k l}^{-1}(x)$ for $x \in \Gamma_{k l}$ with $k \neq 1$, we find

$$
0<\alpha \leq \frac{4}{\beta} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{2 \pi}{\chi_{\mathcal{P}}}\right) \leq \alpha_{\mathcal{Z}}
$$

Now we define the unitary operator $U_{\mathcal{Z}}: L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ by

$$
\left(U_{\mathcal{Z}} f\right)(x):=z_{k} f_{k}(x), \quad x \in \Omega_{k}, \quad k=1, \ldots, n .
$$

Using then the above inequality in combination with the explicit expressions of the involved quadratic forms, it is not difficult to derive the sought result.

## Consequences of the inequality

The above result allows to draw conclusions from an operator comparison.
Denote by $\left\{\lambda_{k}\left(-\Delta_{\delta, \alpha}\right)\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{\lambda_{k}\left(-\Delta_{\delta^{\prime}, \beta}\right)\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ the eigenvalues of the operators $-\Delta_{\delta, \alpha}$ and $-\Delta_{\delta^{\prime}, \beta}$, respectively, below the bottom of their essential spectra, enumerated in non-decreasing order and repeated with multiplicities, and let $N\left(-\Delta_{\delta, \alpha}\right)$ and $N\left(-\Delta_{\delta^{\prime}, \beta}\right)$ be their total numbers.

## Corollary

Under the assumption of the theorem, we have
(i) $\lambda_{k}\left(-\Delta_{\delta^{\prime}, \beta}\right) \leq \lambda_{k}\left(-\Delta_{\delta, \alpha}\right)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$;
(ii) $\min \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}\left(-\Delta_{\delta^{\prime}, \beta}\right) \leq \min \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}\left(-\Delta_{\delta, \alpha}\right)$;
(iii) If $\min \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}\left(-\Delta_{\delta, \alpha}\right)=\min \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}\left(-\Delta_{\delta^{\prime}, \beta}\right)$, then $N\left(-\Delta_{\delta, \alpha}\right) \leq N\left(-\Delta_{\delta^{\prime}, \beta}\right)$.

## Consequences of the inequality

The estimates are the better the smaller the chromatic number is.

## Corollary

Under the stated assumptions, let $\chi_{\mathcal{P}}=2$ and $0<\beta \leq \frac{4}{\alpha}$, then there is a unitary operator such that

$$
U^{-1}\left(-\Delta_{\delta^{\prime}, \beta}\right) U \leq-\Delta_{\delta, \alpha},
$$

and consequently, the conclusions of the previous corollary are valid.

Moreover, the examples with $\Gamma$ being a line in the plane show that the inequality $0<\beta \leq \frac{4}{\alpha}$ cannot be improved.
Example: Let $\Gamma$ be a bent, asymptotically straight curve considered above, now supporting the $\delta^{\prime}$-interaction with a constant $\beta>0$. Choose $\alpha=\frac{4}{\beta}$, then $-\Delta_{\delta^{\prime}, \beta}$ and $-\Delta_{\delta, \alpha}$ have the same essential spectrum. Since we know that $\sigma_{\text {disc }}\left(-\Delta_{\delta, \alpha}\right) \neq \emptyset$, the same is true for $-\Delta_{\delta^{\prime}, \beta}$.

## Strong coupling on a $\delta^{\prime}$ loop

Some $\delta$ arguments, though, can be adapted easily to the $\delta^{\prime}$ situation.

## Theorem (E-Jex'13)

Let $\Gamma$ be a $C^{4}$-smooth closed curve without self-intersections. Then $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{\beta, \Gamma}\right)=[0, \infty)$ and to any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a $\beta_{n}>0$ such that $\# \sigma_{\text {disc }}\left(H_{\beta, \Gamma}\right) \geq n$ holds for $\beta \in\left(0, \beta_{n}\right)$. Denoting by $\lambda_{j}(\beta)$ the $j$-th eigenvalue of $H_{\beta, \Gamma}$, counted with multiplicity, we have the expansion

$$
\lambda_{j}(\beta)=-\frac{4}{\beta^{2}}+\mu_{j}+\mathcal{O}(\beta|\ln \beta|), \quad j=1, \ldots, n
$$

valid as $\beta \rightarrow 0_{+}$, where $\mu_{j}$ is the $j$-th eigenvalue of the comparison operator $S_{\Gamma}$, the same as before. Moreover, for the counting function $\beta \mapsto \# \sigma_{d}\left(H_{\beta, \Gamma}\right)$ we have

$$
\sharp \sigma_{\mathrm{disc}}\left(H_{\beta, \Gamma}\right)=\frac{2 L}{\pi \beta}+\mathcal{O}(|\ln \beta|) \quad \text { as } \beta \rightarrow 0_{+} .
$$

A similar result holds for infinite curves, cf. [Jex'14], and for strong $\delta^{\prime}$ interaction supported by surfaces without boundary, cf. [E-Jex'14]

## More general interactions

The $\delta$ and $\delta^{\prime}$ are just particular cases of the general, four-parameter family of point interactions, and we are now going to construct singular Schrödinger operators with such a general interaction.

For simplicity we restrict ourselves to the simplest partition of the space, namely we assume that $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}, d \geq 2$, is the boundary of a (bounded or unbounded) Lipschitz domain $\Omega=\Omega_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\Omega_{\mathrm{e}}:=\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{i}} \cup \Gamma\right)$; for $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ we write $f_{j}=\left.f\right|_{\Omega_{j}}, j=\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{e}$, and $f=f_{\mathrm{i}} \oplus f_{\mathrm{e}}$.
The trace of $f \in H^{1}\left(\Omega_{j}\right)$ on $\Gamma$ is denoted by $\left.f\right|_{\Gamma} \in H^{1 / 2}(\Gamma)$. For each $f \in H^{1}\left(\Omega_{j}\right)$ we define the derivative of $f$ with respect to the outer unit normal on $\Gamma=\partial \Omega_{j}$ using Green's first identity; if $\Gamma$ is sufficiently smooth and $f$ is differentiable up to the boundary then $\left.\partial_{\nu_{j}} f\right|_{\Gamma}$ is the usual derivative. The outer unit normals for $\Omega_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\Omega_{\mathrm{e}}$ coincide up to a minus sign, in particular, for $f \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ we have $\left.\partial_{\nu_{\mathrm{i}}} f_{\mathrm{i}}\right|_{\Gamma}+\left.\partial_{\nu_{\mathrm{e}}} f_{\mathrm{e}}\right|_{\Gamma}=0$.

## More general interactions

The conditions defining the general point interaction can be written in different form. We employ the one from [E-Grosse'99], up to signs, which has the advantage of making the particular cases of $\delta$ and $\delta^{\prime}$ visible.

The interactions supported on $\Gamma$ will be thus described by Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash \Gamma$ subject to the interface conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{\nu_{\mathrm{i}}} f_{\mathrm{i}}\left|\Gamma+\partial_{\nu_{\mathrm{e}}} f_{\mathrm{e}}\right|_{\Gamma} & =\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(f_{\mathrm{i}} \left\lvert\,\left\ulcorner+f_{\mathrm{e}} \left\lvert\,\ulcorner )+\frac{\gamma}{2}\left(\partial_{\nu_{\mathrm{i}}} f_{\mathrm{i}}\left|\Gamma-\partial_{\nu_{\mathrm{e}}} f_{\mathrm{e}}\right|\ulcorner ),\right.\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
f_{\mathrm{i}} \mid\left\ulcorner-\left.f_{\mathrm{e}}\right|_{\Gamma}\right. & =-\frac{\bar{\gamma}}{2}\left(f_{\mathrm{i}}\left|\Gamma+f_{\mathrm{e}}\right|\ulcorner )+\frac{\beta}{2}\left(\partial_{\nu_{\mathrm{i}}} f_{\mathrm{i}} \mid\left\ulcorner-\partial_{\nu_{\mathrm{e}}} f_{\mathrm{e}} \mid\ulcorner ) .\right.\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Concerning the coefficient functions, we assume that $\alpha: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are bounded, measurable functions. Moreover, let $\Gamma_{\beta} \subset \Gamma$ be a relatively open subset and let $\beta: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that $\beta^{-1}$ is measurable and bounded on $\Gamma_{\beta}$ and $\beta=0$ identically on $\Gamma_{0}:=\Gamma \backslash \Gamma_{\beta}$.
For some of them, however, the above conditions are formal and we have to seek an alternative way to define the operators in question.

## The quadratic form definition

We employ again a suitable quadratic form. Given $\mathcal{A}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\alpha & \gamma \\ -\bar{\gamma} & \beta\end{array}\right)$
we define the symmetric matrix function $\Theta_{\mathcal{A}}$ on $\Gamma$ by

$$
\Theta_{\mathcal{A}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\left|1+\frac{\gamma}{2}\right|^{2}}{\beta} \mathbb{I}_{\Gamma_{\beta}}+\frac{\alpha}{4} & \frac{\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}-1\right)\left(1+\frac{\gamma}{2}\right.}{\beta} \mathbb{I}_{\Gamma_{\beta}}+\frac{\alpha}{4} \\
\frac{\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}-1\right)\left(1+\frac{\bar{\gamma}}{2}\right)}{\beta} \mathbb{I}_{\Gamma_{\beta}}+\frac{\alpha}{4} & \frac{\left|1-\frac{\gamma}{2}\right|^{2}}{\beta} \mathbb{I}_{\Gamma_{\beta}}+\frac{\alpha}{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with the convention that $\frac{1}{\beta} \mathbb{I}_{\beta}$ equals zero on $\Gamma_{0}$.
Then we define a quadratic form $h_{\mathcal{A}}$ in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ in the following way,

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{\mathcal{A}}(f, g) & =\int_{\Omega_{\mathrm{i}}} \nabla f_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \overline{\nabla g_{\mathrm{i}}} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\Omega_{\mathrm{e}}} \nabla f_{\mathrm{e}} \cdot \overline{\nabla g_{\mathrm{e}}} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\Gamma}\left\langle\Theta_{\mathcal{A}}\binom{f_{\mathrm{i}}}{f_{\mathrm{e}}},\binom{g_{\mathrm{i}}}{g_{\mathrm{e}}}\right\rangle \mathrm{d} \sigma, \\
\mathcal{D}\left(q_{\mathcal{A}}\right) & =\left\{f_{\mathrm{i}} \oplus f_{\mathrm{e}} \in H^{1}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \oplus H^{1}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{e}}\right):\left(1+\frac{\bar{\gamma}}{2}\right) f_{\mathrm{i}}=\left(1-\frac{\bar{\gamma}}{2}\right) f_{\mathrm{e}} \text { on } \Gamma_{0}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is the inner product in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ and $\sigma$ is the surface measure on $\Gamma$. Note that $q_{\mathcal{A}}$ is well-defined since the entries of $\Theta_{\mathcal{A}}$ are bounded functions.

## The quadratic form definition

Under the stated assumption we have [E-Rohleder'16]:

## Proposition

The form $q_{\mathcal{A}}$ in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ is densely defined, symmetric, semibounded below and closed.

Hence there is a unique selfadjoint, semibounded operator $-\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}$ associated with $q_{\mathcal{A}}$; it the coefficients are regular enough it coincides with the Laplacian subject to the above stated interface conditions.

Remark: The definition includes not only the $\delta$ - $\beta=\gamma=0)$ and $\delta^{\prime}$-interaction $(\alpha=\gamma=0)$, but also other cases of interest. For instance, given real constants $c_{\mathrm{i}}, c_{\mathrm{e}}$ with $c_{\mathrm{i}}+c_{\mathrm{e}} \neq 0$ and choosing

$$
\alpha=\frac{4 c_{\mathrm{i}} c_{\mathrm{e}}}{c_{\mathrm{i}}+c_{\mathrm{e}}}, \quad \beta=\frac{4}{c_{\mathrm{i}}+c_{\mathrm{e}}}, \quad \gamma=\frac{2\left(c_{\mathrm{i}}-c_{\mathrm{e}}\right)}{c_{\mathrm{i}}+c_{\mathrm{e}}},
$$

we get separated regions with Robin conditions, $\partial_{\nu_{j}} f_{j}=c_{j} f_{j}, j=\mathrm{i}$, e.

## Spectral properties of $-\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}$

A lot can be said about spectrum of $-\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}$, let us mention a few result?

## Theorem (E-Rohleder'16)

Let $\Omega_{\mathrm{i}}$ be bounded, i.e. $\Gamma$ is compact. Then the resolvent difference

$$
\left(-\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}-\lambda\right)^{-1}-\left(-\Delta_{\text {free }}-\lambda\right)^{-1}, \quad \lambda \in \rho\left(-\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}\right) \cap \rho\left(-\Delta_{\text {free }}\right)
$$

is compact. In particular, $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(-\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}\right)=\mathbb{R}_{+}$and the discrete spectrum $\sigma\left(-\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}\right) \cap(-\infty, 0)$ is finite.

Concerning the existence of $\sigma_{\text {disc }}\left(-\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$, in the presence of $\delta^{\prime}$ we have the following sufficient condition:

## Theorem (E-Rohleder'16)

In addition the hypotheses of the previous theorem, let $\Gamma=\Gamma_{\beta}$, i.e., $\beta(s) \neq 0$ for all $s \in \Gamma$. If $\int_{\Gamma}\left(\frac{\left|1+\frac{\gamma}{2}\right|^{2}}{\beta}+\frac{\alpha}{4}\right) \mathrm{d} \sigma>0$ holds, $N\left(-\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}\right)>0$.

## Spectral properties of $-\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}$

In the absence of $\delta^{\prime}$ the claim depends on the dimension:
Theorem (E-Rohleder'16)
Let $\Gamma$ be compact in dimension $d=2$. Assume that $\beta=0$ identically on
$\Gamma$, and moreover, $\alpha(s) \geq \alpha_{\text {min }}>0$ for all $s \in \Sigma$ and let $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ be constant. Then $N\left(-\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}\right)>0$.

If $d \geq 3$ the situation is different:

## Proposition

Let $\Gamma$ be compact, $d \geq 3$, and $\beta=0$ identically on Г. Moreover, let $0 \leq \alpha(s) \leq \alpha_{\max }$ for all $s \in \Sigma$ and let $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ be constant. Define

$$
\widetilde{\alpha}=\frac{\alpha_{\max }}{\min \left\{|1+\gamma / 2|^{2},|1-\gamma / 2|^{2}\right\}} \geq 0
$$

and let $-\Delta_{\delta, \widetilde{\alpha}}$ be the Schrödinger operator in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ with $\delta$-interaction of strength $\widetilde{\alpha}$ on $\Gamma$. If $N\left(-\Delta_{\delta, \widetilde{\alpha}}\right)=0$ the same is true for $N\left(-\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$.

## Spectral properties of $-\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}$

The situation is more complicated if $\Gamma$ is non-compact:

## Theorem (E-Rohleder'16)

Let $\Gamma$ be a surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ homeomorphic to the plane which is $C^{2}$ smooth outside a compact and asymptotically planar in the sense that $K, M$ vanish asymptotically. Suppose further that the functions $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are constant outside a compact and $\alpha(s), \beta(s)$ are nonnegative for all $s \in \Gamma$, then under additional mild assumptions we have $\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}\left(-\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}\right) \subset\left[m_{\mathcal{A}}, \infty\right)$, where

$$
m_{\mathcal{A}}= \begin{cases}-\frac{4 \alpha^{2}}{\left(4+|\gamma|^{2}\right)^{2}}, & \text { if } \beta=0 \\ -\frac{\left(4+\operatorname{det} \mathcal{A}+\sqrt{-16 \alpha \beta+(4+\operatorname{det} \mathcal{A})^{2}}\right)^{2}}{16 \beta^{2}} & \text { if } \beta \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are the constant function values outside the compact.

In some case one can prove equality, $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(-\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}\right)=\left[m_{\mathcal{A}}, \infty\right)$, for instance if $\Gamma$ is a plane outside a compact.

## Operator inequalities

To prove the existence of a non-void discrete spectrum one can combine known results in particular case with operator inequalities. In various particular situations one can prove the existence of a unitary operator, denoted generically as $U$, which make it possible:
Suppose again that $\alpha: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are bounded, measurable functions, and $\beta: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable with $\beta^{-1}$ bounded, then:
(a) Let $\mathcal{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta\end{array}\right)$ with $\alpha(s) \geq 0$ and $\beta(s)>0$ for all $s \in \Gamma$. Let further $\alpha(s) \leq \frac{4}{\beta(s)}$ for all $s \in \Gamma$, then

$$
U^{*}\left(-\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}\right) U \leq-\Delta_{\delta, \alpha}
$$

(b) Let $\mathcal{A}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & \gamma \\ -\bar{\gamma} & \beta\end{array}\right)$ with $\beta(s)>0$ for all $s \in \Gamma$ and $\gamma \in i \mathbb{R}$ being a constant. Let further $\widetilde{\alpha}: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be measurable and bounded satisfying $\widetilde{\alpha}(s) \leq \frac{4+|\gamma|^{2}}{\beta(s)}$ for all $s \in \Gamma$, then

$$
U^{*}\left(-\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}\right) U \leq-\Delta_{\delta, \alpha} .
$$

## Operator inequalities

(c) Let $\mathcal{A}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\alpha & \gamma \\ -\bar{\gamma} & 0\end{array}\right)$ with $\alpha(s) \geq 0$ for all $s \in \Gamma$ and $\gamma \in i \mathbb{R}$ being a constant. Let further $\widetilde{\alpha}: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be measurable and bounded satisfying $\widetilde{\alpha}(s) \leq \frac{\alpha(s)}{\left|1+\frac{\gamma}{2}\right|^{2}}$ for all $s \in \Gamma$, then

$$
U^{*}\left(-\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}\right) U \leq-\Delta_{\delta, \alpha} .
$$

(d) Let $\mathcal{A}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\alpha & \gamma \\ -\bar{\gamma} & 0\end{array}\right)$ with $\alpha(s) \geq 0$ for all $s \in \Gamma$ and $\gamma: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ being measurable and bounded. Let further $\widetilde{\beta}: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\widetilde{\beta}^{-1}$ is measurable and bounded satisfying $\alpha(s) \leq \frac{4}{\tilde{\beta}(s)}$ for all $s \in \Gamma$, then

$$
U^{*}\left(-\Delta_{\delta^{\prime}, \widetilde{\beta}}\right) U \leq-\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}
$$

The first three can be used to estimate the spectra from the known results about the $\delta$-interaction, the last one includes also the intermediate class which occurs if $\operatorname{Re} \gamma \neq 0$.

## Open questions

In my view, the main challenge concerns the strong-coupling behavior in situations with less regularity, in the first place such a behavior for Hamiltonians of branched leaky graphs.
Conjecture: The strong coupling limit of broken curves/branched graphs behaves similarly to shrinking Dirichlet networks or tubes, i.e. a nontrivial limit with the natural energy renormalization can be obtained provided the system exhibits a threshold resonance.
For periodic manifolds the absolute continuity of the spectrum is not proven even in the $\delta$-interaction case, except a non-uniform, strong coupling result - to say nothing of the more singular interactions
Other problems: strong-coupling asymptotic behavior of gaps for periodic manifolds, a better understanding of the influence of regular potentials and magnetic fields: how do they influence curvature-induced bound states? We conjecture they may destroy them. Furthermore, where does the mobility edge lies if $\Gamma$ is randomized?, etc., etc.
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## It remains to say

## Thank you for your attention!

